

Multiple Baseline Efficacy Study of Wraparound

Jim Rast, Ph.D.
Christa Peterson, Ph.D.
John VanDenBerg, Ph.D.





Study Design

- In the initial study 67 children and youth with SED who had been in child welfare custody were selected. 33 received wraparound and 34 received mental health and child welfare as usual. At the end of one year, case workers could request wraparound for youth who had been in the "Control" group.
- This paper reports on the impact of wraparound for the eight youth who received wraparound through this process.
- Data was collected on the same measures throughout the two studies and included:
 - CBCL
 - CAFAS
 - Client Status Report which included residential and educational measures
 - Wraparound Fidelity through the WFI 3.0

	Control	Plus Wrap
Average Age	11.5	12.3
Percent Female	52.9%	12.5%
Race		
American Indian	2.8%	12.5%
Asian	2.8%	0%
Black	41.7%	87.5%
White	36.1%	0%
Other	11.1%	0%
Functioning		
Average CGAF	53	47.5
Average CAFAS	92.4	92.5

Risk Factor	TMH	Wrap Plus
Has the child ever had a psychiatric hospitalization?	37.5%	50.0%
Has the child ever been physically abused?	50.0%	50.0%
Has the child ever been sexually abused?	28.0%	37.5%
Has the child ever run away?	45.2%	37.5%
Has the child ever attempted suicide?	19.4%	0.0%
Has the child had a history of substance abuse?	33.3%	25.0%
Has the child ever been sexually abusive towards others?	17.6%	0.0%
Is there a hx of domestic violence in the biological family?	71.0%	50.0%
Is there a history of mental illness in the biological family?	71.4%	75.0%
If yes, has either parent had a psychiatric hospitalization?	25.0%	12.5%
Has a biological parent been convicted of a crime?	86.7%	87.5%
Is there a history of substance abuse in the child's family?	93.1%	100.0%
Has one of the parents received substance abuse tx?	69.2%	62.5%



20th Annual RTC Conference
Presented in Tampa, March 2007

